

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,922		10/01/2003	Charles W. Friedli	ISO1359ESG	1767	
20280	7590	10/11/2006		EXAMINER		
MOTORO 600 NORTE		LIWAV 15	PIGGUSH, AARON C			
ROOM AS		nwai 43		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LIBERTYV	ILLE, IL	60048-5343	2838			
			•	DATE MAILED: 10/11/200	DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/677,922	FRIEDLI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Aaron Piggush	2838

	Aaron Piggush	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a) 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Individual set for the date set for the date of the mailing set from the mailing set.	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who g date of the final rejection.	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		LINOI KLI LI WASI	ILLD WITTING
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further could be a they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	·		,
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b of a constant of CP and a No.	-4'# A 1 - '11	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	•	n condition for allowar	nce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	,		
-		ARL EASTHOM	
	SUPERVI	SORY PATENT EXA	MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Concerning Farrell, the pair of protrusions of no 30-3 can reasonably be considered to be connected perpendicularly at the contact point, even though they have a slight slant. Furthermore, it is implied that they will bend (i.e. back up spring) to a perpendicular level when inserted into the holding slot, as seen in Flg. 2 Concerning Sharrah, both no. 82 and the small protrusion to the left of arrow no. 80 in Fig. 9 are still reasonably considered barbs, which extend perpendicularly and are supported by latch no. 80 (which is the at least one barbed wing member). Concerning David Jr., he was not used in the rejection of claims 1 or 9, and therefore does not need to show any type of barbed wing member or pair of barbs..